# Timing of Incarceration, Genetic Susceptibility, and Depression

Hexuan Liu, Sarah Light, and J.C. Barnes, University of Cincinnati

Terrie E. Moffitt, Duke University, King's College London

## Introduction

Numerous studies have shown that, compared to their counterparts, individuals with a history of incarceration are more likely to experience depression or other mental health problems later in life. Yet there are two important limitations in extant research on the incarceration-depression relationship. First, few studies have been attentive to the timing of incarceration. Because of that, an implicit assumption has been that the effect of incarceration is the same irrespective of the age at which incarceration occurs. Second, prior studies have not considered the possibility that the effect of incarceration on depression may differ among individuals with differential genetic susceptibility.

# Aims of Study

- Test whether and to what extent the effect of incarceration on depression varies by age.
- Test whether and to what extent the effect of incarceration on depression varies by genetic susceptibility.
- Test whether and to what extent the moderating effect of age on the incarceration-depression relationship varies by genetic susceptibility.

## Data and Measures

#### Data

The Dunedin Longitudinal Study collected data from a cohort of 1,037 individuals born between April 1<sup>st</sup>,1972 and March 31,1973 in Dunedin, New Zealand. Participants have been assessed at ages 3, 5, 7, 9, 11, 13, 15, 18, 21, 26, 32, 38, and 45.

#### Measures

- Dependent variable
  - Depression scale: sum of 9 major depression episodes.
- Independent variables:
  - Age
  - Incarceration: whether the participant was incarcerated between the previous wave and the current wave (0: No; 1: Yes).
  - Depression polygenic risk score (Wary et al. 2018): low genetic susceptibility (0 33%); medium genetic susceptibility (34% 66%); high genetic susceptibility (67%-100%).
- Covariates:
  - Sex
  - Childhood mental health
  - Childhood socioeconomic status
  - Delinquency
  - Lagged depression scale

# Findings

	Model 1	Model 2	Model 3
Incarceration	0.939***	-0.335	-0.200
Age	-0.011**	-0.009*	-0.007
Low Genetic Susceptibility	•	-	-
Medium Genetic Susceptibility	0.276**	0.235*	0.241*
High Genetic Susceptibility	0.540***	0.500***	0.502***
Incarceration × Age	0.085**		0.019
Incarceration × Low Genetic Susceptibility	•	-	•
Incarceration × Medium Genetic Susceptibility		1.081*	1.026
Incarceration × High Genetic Susceptibility		1.083*	2.119***
Incarceration × Age × Low Genetic Susceptibility	•	•	•
Incarceration × Age × Medium Genetic Susceptibility			0.003
Incarceration × Age × High Genetic Susceptibility			0.169**
Constant	1.400***	1.428***	1.421***
Number of			
Observations	4,297	4,297	4,297

- The impact of incarceration on depression gets <u>stronger</u> as participants age.
- The effect of incarceration on depression is greater for participants with medium or high genetic susceptibility than those with low genetic susceptibility.

 The increasing impact of incarceration on depression is isolated to those who have high genetic susceptibility for depression.

## References

Wray, N. R., Ripke, S., Mattheisen, M., Trzaskowski, M., Byrne, E. M., et al. (2018). Genome-wide association analyses identify 44 risk variants and refine the genetic architecture of major depression. *Nature Genetics*, 50, 668.

# Acknowledgements

We thank Dunedin Study members, their families, the New Zealand Police, and Dunedin Study founder Phil Silva. The Dunedin Multidisciplinary Health and Development Study is supported by the New Zealand Health Research Council and New Zealand Ministry of Business, Innovation, and Employment. Additional support was provided by the Jacobs Foundation and the Avielle Foundation. The funders had no role in study design, data collection and analysis, decision to publish, or preparation of the manuscript. The Otago University Ethics Committee, Duke University and King's College London provided ethical approval for the Dunedin Study. Participants gave written consent before data were collected.